AMENDMENT

Remarks:

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-13 and 28-34. Claims 1, 8, and 28 are being

amended to further recite the features of the invention. Claim 45 was previously

canceled. Claims 14-27, 35-44, and 46-50 were previously withdrawn responsive to an

earlier restriction requirement. As a result, claims 1-13 and 28-34 are pending for

examination with claims 1, 8, and 28 being independent claims. The amendments made

find support in the specification and do not constitute new matter.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-7 and 28-34 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as

being anticipated by Richter et al (US 6,725,279) ("Richter") in view of Fant et al (US

6,957,430) ("Fant") and further in view of Zou et al (US 2004/0199652) ("Zou"). Further,

the Examiner has rejected claims 8-13 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being anticipated by

Richter in view of Tindell et al (US 5,936,643) ("Tindell") and further in view of Zou.

Applicants traverse. Even so, Applicants have amended the claims to further recite the

features of the invention.

Independent claim 1 has been amended to call for:

"...the media processor and the topology generating element are

controlled by the media session... wherein the multimedia data flows

from the one or more sources into the media session and then out of the

Amendment

Application Number: 10/603,328

Attorney Docket Number: 302124.01

14 of 17

AMENDMENT

media session and into the media processor where the multimedia data is

passed between the media processor and the one or more multimedia

components and then out of the media processor and into a media sink"

(emphasis added)

Independent claims 8 and 28 have been similarly amended. Support for the

amendments can be found in the original specification at least in paragraphs 27, 28, 31,

and as shown in FIG. 2.

Neither Richter nor Fant not Tindell nor Zou, separately or combined, teach,

disclose, or suggest Applicants' claimed features as amended.

Accordingly, Applicants submit that claims 1, 8, and 28 are not anticipated by

Richter under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) even in view of Fant, Tindell, and/or Zou. As such,

Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw the rejection.

Claims 2-7, 9-13, and 29-34 are each dependent on claim 1, 8, or 28. As such,

each of claims 2-7, 9-13, and 29-34 is believed allowable at least in part based upon

claim 1, 8, or 28.

Request for Reconsideration:

Reconsideration and examination of the above-referenced application is

requested.

Amendment

Application Number: 10/603,328

Attorney Docket Number: 302124.01

15 of 17

AMENDMENT

Conclusion:

Accordingly, in view of the above amendment and remarks it is submitted that the claims are patentably distinct over the prior art and that all the rejections to the claims have been overcome. Reconsideration and reexamination of the above application is requested. Based on the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request that the pending claims be allowed, and that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. If the Examiner believes, after this amendment, that the application is not in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to call the Applicants' representative at the telephone number listed below.

Amendment

Application Number: 10/603,328

Attorney Docket Number: 302124.01

If this response is not considered timely filed and if a request for an extension of time is otherwise absent, Applicants hereby request any necessary extension of time. If there is a fee occasioned by this response, including an extension fee that is not covered by an enclosed check please charge any deficiency to Deposit Account No. 50–0463.

Respectfully submitted, Microsoft Corporation

Date: December 23, 2008 By: ____/L. Alan Collins/

L. Alan Collins, Reg. No.: 57,646 Direct telephone (425) 703-8265 Microsoft Corporation One Microsoft Way Redmond WA 98052-6399

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION (Under 37 CFR § 1.8(a)) or ELECTRONIC FILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically deposited with the USPTO via EFS-Web on the date shown below:

<u>December 23, 2008</u>	/Noemi Tovar/
Date	Noemi Tovar

Amendment

Application Number: 10/603,328 Attorney Docket Number: 302124.01